

## School Rule Development Committee Evaluation

### July 12, 2005

**18 SRDC Members, Alternates, & guests completed the survey. Not all signed their name.**

This survey was developed to help the Department of Health and the Board of Health assess the acceptability and effectiveness of the SRDC process. Your comments will help us make improvements in future rulemaking activities.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
1	2	3	4	5	X

1. The SRDC addressed the most important issues.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>		
-----------------------------	----------	----------	----------	--	--

2. The process was open and fair to all participants.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>2</b>
-----------------------------	----------	----------	----------	--	----------

3. The facilitator was effective in helping the SRDC define/identify the issues and reach decisions.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>			
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	--	--	--

4. The staff provided adequate resources (e.g., background information, context, options) for the discussions.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>1</b>
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	----------	--	----------

5. The purpose, ground rules, and goals of the SRDC were adequately explained.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	--

6. I am generally satisfied with the process.

<b>Number of responses:</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>1</b>
-----------------------------	----------	----------	----------	--	----------

#### ***What I would change about the process:***

Some comments regarding the make-up of the committee: Too many health folks, not enough school folks; too much opportunity for input from citizens, not enough opportunity for input from citizens. Some committee members were uncomfortable with the open process, preferring to initiate a draft document with just health officials before sharing & discussing proposed changes with a broader stakeholder group.

#### ***What I would keep about the process:***

Favorable comments for the process and the work of DOH staff in support to the workgroups and committee. The diversity of perspectives provided by the wide range of voices involved was also noted as a positive element.